This lesson has a strange title and it is not obvious why it was chosen. Maybe you, the reader, should tell us what does this lesson have to do with cheating.



Randomize widget shuffles the column in the data table. It can shuffle the class column, columns with data features or columns with meta information. Shuffling the class column breaks any relation between features and the class, keeping the data points (genes profiles) intact.

Why is the background in this scatter plot so green, and only green? Why have the other colors disappeared after the class randomization?

## Lesson 9: How to Cheat

At this stage, the classification tree looks very good. There's only one data point where it makes a mistake. Can we mess up the data set so bad that the trees will ultimately fail? Like, remove any existing correlation between gene expression profiles and class? We can! There's the Randomize widget that can shuffle the class column. Check out the chaos it creates in the Scatter Plot visualization where there were nice clusters before randomization!



Fine. There can be no classifier that can model this mess, right? Let us test this. We will build classification tree and check its performance on the messed-up data set.





And the result? Here is a screenshot of the Distributions:

Most unusual. Almost no mistakes. How is this possible? On a class-randomized data set?

To find the answer to this riddle, open the Tree Viewer and check out the tree. How many nodes does it have? Are there many data instances in the leaf nodes?

It looks like the tree just memorized every data instance from the data set. No wonder the predictions were right. The tree makes no sense, and it is complex because it simply remembered everything.

Ha, if this is so, that is, if a classifier remembers everything from a data set but without discovering any general patterns, it should perform miserably on any new data set. Let us check this out. We will split our data set into two sets, training and testing, train the classification tree on the training data set and then

estimate its accuracy on the test data set.

Randomize Da

File

Tree

The signals from the Data Sampler widget have not been named in our workflow to save space. The Data Sampler splits the data to a sample and out-ofsample (so called remaining data). The sample was given to the Tree widget, while the remaining data was handed to the Predictions widget. Set the Data Sampler so that the size of these two data sets is about equal.

At this stage, it may be

shuffled data!

worthwhile checking how do the

trees look. Try comparing the

tree inferred from original and

Let's check how the Distributions widget looks after testing the classifier on the test data.



The first two classes are a complete fail. The predictions for ribosomal genes are a bit better, but still with lots of mistakes. On the class-randomized training data, our classifier fails miserably. Finally, this is just as we would expect.

To test the performance (accuracy) of the classification technique, we have just learned that we need to train the classifiers on the training set and then test it on a separate test set. With this test, we can distinguish between those classifiers that just memorize the training data and those that learn a useful model.

Learning is not only remembering. Rather, it is discovering patterns that govern the data and apply to new data as well. To estimate the accuracy of a classifier, we, therefore, need a separate test set. This assessment should not depend on just one division of the input data set to training and test set (here's a place for cheating as well). Instead, we need to repeat the process of estimation several times, each time on a different train/test set and report on the average score.

Turns out that for every class value the majority of data instances has been predicted to the ribosomal class (green). Why? Green again (like green from the Scatter Plot of the messed-up data)? Here is a hint: use the Box Plot widget to answer this question.

We needed to class-randomize only the training data set to fail in predictions. Try changing the workflow so that the classes are randomized only there, and not in the test set.